

WHEELING INTELLIGENCE

MAY 11 1960

Approved For Release 2004/12/15 : CIA-RDP75-00149R000700030022-1

Circ. no. 22,955

Front Edit Other  
Page Page

Date:

By Ted Lewis

## Air Forays No Secret

WASHINGTON — The general alarm of Congressional reaction to the spy plane incident bordered on the hysterical. There was a congressional berating concerning the alarm over what would now happen to the summit conference. There were silly warnings about the new threat of nuclear war.

But far worse were the fantastic statements from some Congressmen expressing shock that this country was sending spy planes over Russia — and had been doing so for at least four years.

Now this is unadulterated hogwash. Some of those sounding off were probably honest enough but they certainly should not be proud of being ignorant. One of the most startling statements of this kind was made in the Senate by the usually savvy Sen. Willis Robertson (D-Va.).

With utmost seriousness Robertson said that for 13 years as a member of the Appropriations Committee he had attended hearings on funds for the CIA.

"I was never told that the CIA had planes operating over Russia," Robertson complained.

Obviously Robertson doesn't talk to the right people. For more than four years it has been common knowledge here among those with any sort of contact with the Pentagon, State Department or CIA that our planes have been making secret missions over Russian territory.

All Congressional leaders were in on this. Our allies knew about it. The Russians knew about it. But this government's policy was based on the premise that we never should admit publicly what our planes were doing.

But for that secrecy policy, this government would not be in the embarrassing position it is today of being forced to "come clean" by Khrushchev, and finally admitting in a public statement what has been concealed from the American people for years.

Who is responsible for this secret policy? That should have been asked. Russian-baiting speeches over some plane incidents, simply on the basis of a State Department announcement which was phony.

We are not reporting that all American planes shot down by the Russians were on spy missions. In some cases weather was to blame for their being off course, and this government had an honest right to send the Kremlin a tough note.

More than a year ago, a high ranking CIA official discussed with us the danger of these secret missions over Soviet territory. He described the pilots carrying out these spying assignments as "un-sung heroes."

The fliers knew the dangers involved; that if they are shot down their families may never know what they did. After all, the pilot's spying phase does not depend on an honesty in the real circumstances of their death, their skill as pilots may be questionable.

But they must often have winced because their planes were shot down "off course." This is because the audacity to make public a note that the CIA and State Department denies such planes were on spying missions to the Kremlin demanding satisfaction for the shooting down of an American plane which was "accidentally" off course.

The CIA and State Department have defended this policy of denying any spy flights on grounds that

it is pretty shaky. The argument is that we cannot conceal the destruction by the Reds of one of our planes, nor the fatalities of any crew members, even if Moscow keeps silent.

The families of those involved will learn of what has happened. The reasoning goes. It is better all around for them to be told that the plane was on a peaceful mission over some neutral country, when it goes off course due to weather conditions. Never should the parents be informed that the American plane was unarmed, and shot down while on a secret mission.

The Russians handle this problem differently. When they lose a plane spying on American occupied territory they keep the loss hush-hush. Our Air Force claims that we have knocked down far more of their intruding planes than they have of ours.

Now that this government has admitted these spy missions over Russia some Congressmen think it would make sense to abandon our secrecy policy. Who is going to believe any future official statement knowing that the truth has been twisted for expediency?